

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boct 450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/803,647 03/18/2004 Johannes Martinus Petrus van Deursen VER-122BX 5353 EXAMINER 03/29/2005 WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & HAYES LLP SODERQUIST, ARLEN Ten Post Office Square PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Boston, MA 02109 1743

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 8 | | v |
|--|---|-----------------------|-------------------|---|------|
| | | Applica | tion No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Office Action Summany | | 10/803, | 647 | DEURSEN ET AL. | |
| • | Office Action Summary | Examin | er | Art Unit | |
| | | | oderquist | 1743 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply | nication appears on t | he cover sheet w | ith the correspondence addre | ss |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)[7] | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | |
| · | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | |
| 9)🛛 : | The specification is objected to by the | ie Examiner. | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 March 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11)[| The oath or declaration is objected t | o by the Examiner. N | Note the attached | d Office Action or form PTO-1 | 152. |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/067,483. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | • | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 3) 🔯 Inform | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-18-04</u> . | | Paper No(s | s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152 | 2) |
| 2 2 | ndemark Office | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/803,647 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

1. Claims 1-6 and 13-14 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a sample vial (claim 8, figures 1-2, previously examined), an HPLC column (claims 7 and 9-10, figures 6-10, previously examined), a test tube (claim 11, figures 3-5) and a Petri dish (claim 12, no figures or specific description). Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement. Because two species have already been elected and examined and because no preliminary amendment to the original claims has been found in the record, examiner felt that a written correspondence was the proper way to convey this election of species.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the parent 4. application information needs to be added.

Appropriate correction is required.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The two parent patens are being cited along with some art that was found in the parent record.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,647

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arlen Soderquist whose telephone number is 571-272-1265. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu and Alternate Friday.

Page 3

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arlen Soderquist

ARLEN SODERQUIST PRIMARY EXAMINER